

Quasi-Pure W-Projective Modules and Dimension

BIRESH KUMAR GUPTA (Research Scholar)¹ and M. R. ALONEY²

¹Department of Mathematics Bhagwant University, Ajmer (Raj) (INDIA)

²TIT, Bhopal (INDIA)

(Acceptance Date 9th May, 2014)

Abstract

In this paper, we generalize the notion of projective, injective and flat modules and dimension. Hence, we introduce and study the notion of Quasi-pure W-projective modules and dimension.

Key words: classical projective dimension, quasi-Frobenius ring, FP-injective, pure projective, cotorsion dimension.

Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative and all modules if not specified otherwise are left and unitary. Let R is a ring and M be an R -module. As usual we use $\text{pd}_R(M)$, $\text{id}_R(M)$ and $\text{fd}_R(M)$ to denote respectively, the classical projective dimension, injective dimension and flat dimension of M . We use also $\text{qpwpd}_R(M)$, $\text{gldim}(R)$ and $\text{Wdim}(R)$ to denote respectively, the quasi-pure W-projective dimension of M , classical global and Quasi W-dimension of R . The character module $\text{Hom}_Z\left(M, \frac{Q}{Z}\right)$ is denoted by M^1 .

Recall that a ring R is called left perfect, if every flat module is projective. Example of Perfect rings includes quasi-Frobenius rings that are right or left self injective rings and

right or left Artinian. It is shown that a ring is quasi - Frobenius if and only if every projective module is injective, if and only if every injective module is projective. We introduce and study a new generalization of projective and injective modules any dimension^{3,5}.

The relation between the quasi-pure W-projective dimension and other dimensions are discussed.

Definition 1.1: A module is said to be pure-projective if it is projective with respect to pure-exact sequences- Warfield² showed that a module is pure-projective if and only if it is a direct summand of a direct sum of finitely presented modules.

Definition 1.2: For an R -module M , the quasi-pure W-projective dimension of M ,

$\text{qpwpd}_R(M)$, is defined to be the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, M) = 0$ for all flat modules M . If no such integer exists, set $\text{qpwpd}_R(M) = \infty$. If $\text{qpwpd}_R(M) = 0$ then M will be called a quasi-pure W -projective module.

Example 1.3: Consider the local quasi-Frobenius ring $R = \frac{m[z]}{z^2}$ where m is a field and denoted by \bar{z} the residue class in R of z . Then \bar{z} is a quasi-pure W -projective R -module which is not projective⁸.

Proof: Since R is quasi-Frobenius, every projective (and every flat since R is Perfect) modules M is injective. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\bar{z}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Thus \bar{z} is a quasi-pure W -projective R -module. Now, if we suppose that \bar{z} is projective. Then, it must be free since R is local, a contradiction since $\bar{z}^2 = 0$. So, we conclude that \bar{z} is not projective, as desired.

The authors⁶ defined and studied a refinement of flat modules which they called the IF modules. Recall that an R -module M is said IF Module if $\text{Tor}_R^i(I, M) = 0$ for all right injective R -module and all $i > 0$.

Proposition 1.4: Let R be a right coherent ring. Then every quasi-pure W -projective R -module is an IF R -module.

Proof: Let M be a quasi-pure W -projective R -module. Let E be an injective

right R -module. Then \bar{E} is flat. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \bar{E}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. While $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \bar{E}) = \overline{(\text{Tor}_R^i(\bar{E}, M))}$. Hence $\text{Tor}_R^i(E, M) = 0$. Thus M is an IF-module.

Proposition 1.5: Let M be a quasi-pure W -projective R -module, then

- (i) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M^*) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all M^* with finite flat dimension.
- (ii) Either M is Projective or $\text{fd}_R(M) = \infty$.

Proof: (i) Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M^*) = 0$ for all flat modules and M^* all $i > 0$, the proof is immediate by dimension shifting.

(ii) Suppose that $\text{fd}_R(M) < \infty$ and pick a short exact Sequence $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where P is projective. Clearly $\text{fd}_R(M^*) < \infty$ then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M^*) = 0$. Thus the short exact sequence splits and so M is isomorphic to a direct summand of P and then projective.

Corollary 1.6: A module M is quasi-pure Projective if and only if it is flat and quasi-pure W -projective.

Proof: Let M be an R -module. The cotorsion dimension of M , $\text{cd}_R(M)$ is smallest integer n such that $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(\bar{M}, M) = 0$ for all flat modules \bar{M} . The left cotorsion dimension

of the R , $\text{cot.D}(R)$ is the supremum of cotorsion dimension of R module. It is shown in [7, corollary 7.2.6] that $1.\text{cot.D}(R) = \text{Sup}\{\text{qpd}_R(\overline{M})/\overline{M} - \text{flat}\}$.

Proposition 1.7: Let M be an R -module and consider the following conditions.

- (i) M is a quasi-pure W -projective module.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, P) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and the projective modules P .
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, P) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all module P with finite projective dimension.

Then (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)

All statements are equivalent if M is finitely presented or if $1.\text{cot.D}(R) < \infty$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) it is trivial

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) Result by dimension shifting.

Let \overline{M} be a flat module. By Lazard's theorem [4, section 1 N. 6 theorem 1], there is a direct system $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ of finitely generated free R -modules such that $\varinjlim L_i \cong \overline{M}$.

If M is finitely presented, from [4, Exercise 3, P-187]. We have $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \overline{M}) \cong \varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^i(M, L_i)$. Thus in this case the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) holds.

If $1.\text{cot.D}(R) < \infty$ then $\text{qpd}_R(\overline{M}) < \infty$.

Hence in this case also the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) holds¹¹.

Proposition 1.8: The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) R is left perfect
- (ii) Every flat module is quasi-pure W -projective.

In particular if the class of all quasi-pure W -projective modules is closed under direct limits, then R is left perfect.

Proof: If R is left perfect it is clear that every flat module is quasi-pure W -projective. As to the converse, let \overline{M} be a flat module. By (i) it is quasi-pure W -projective and so projective by proposition 1.5. Then R is left perfect. If the class of all quasi-pure W -projective module is closed under direct limits, then any direct limit of projective modules is both flat and quasi-pure W -projective (since every projective module is both flat and quasi-pure W -projective). Then by corollary 1.6 every direct limit of projective modules is projective. Thus R is left perfect.

Proposition 1.9: The following are equivalent.

- (i) Every R -module is quasi-pure W -projective.
- (ii) R is quasi-Frobenius.

Proof: This follows from the fact that a ring is quasi-Frobenius if and only if every projective module is injective and that is quasi-Frobenius rings are perfect.

A left (right) R -module M is said FP-

injective if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M) = 0$ for every finitely presented left (right) R-module M.

A ring R is said to be FC if it is left and right coherent and left right self FP-injective.

Proposition 1.10: The following are equivalent.

- (i) R is FC.
- (ii) Every finitely presented (left and right) module is quasi-pure W-projective.

Proof: Let M be a finitely presented right (or left) module and \bar{M} be a flat right (or left) module. Then \bar{M} is FP-injective by [10, lemma 4.1]. So, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \bar{M}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Thus M is quasi-pure W-projective. As to converse for any finitely presented right of left module M we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ by (ii). Thus R is self right and left FP-injective.

Proposition 1.11: For any R-module M and any Positive integer n, the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) $\text{qpwpd}_R(M) \leq n$
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \bar{M}) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all R-module \bar{M} with finite flat dimension.
- (iii) If $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of modules with

G_0, \dots, G_{n-1} are quasi-pure W-projective modules, Then G_n is a quasi W-projective module.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow The proof of this equivalence is standard homological algebra.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Obvious.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Set $P = \text{qpwpd}_R(M)$. By induction on $m = \text{fd}_R(\bar{M})$, we prove that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \bar{M}) = 0$ for all $i > p$. The induction start is given by (i). If $m > 0$ pick the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \bar{M}' \rightarrow P \rightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow 0$ where P is a projective module. Clearly $\text{fd}_R(\bar{M}') = m - 1$. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \bar{M}') = 0$ for all $i > n$. From the long exact sequence $\rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, P) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, \bar{M}') \rightarrow \dots$

It is clear that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \bar{M}) = 0$ for all $i > n$.

Proposition 1.12: For any R-module M, $\text{qpwpd}_R(M) \leq \text{pd}_R(M)$, with equality if $\text{fd}_R(M)$ is finite.

Proof: The first inequality follows from the fact that every projective module is quasi-pure W-projective. Now, set $\text{qpwpd}_R(M) = n < \infty$ and consider an n-step projective resolution of M as follows. $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ Where all P_i are projective. Clearly, M' is quasi-pure W-projective. If $\text{fd}_R(M) < \infty$ then

$\text{fd}_R(M') < \infty$ and then it is projective by proposition 1.5. Hence $\text{pd}_R(M) \leq n$, and so the equality holds.

[2]. *Quasi-pure W-Projective dimension of rings:*

Definition 2.1: The left quasi-pure W-projective dimension of a ring R , $l.\text{qpwpd}(R)$ is defined by setting $l.\text{qpwpd}(R) = \text{Sup}\{\text{qpwpd}(M) \mid M \text{ is a (left) } R\text{-module}\}$.

Theorem 2.2: Let R be a ring and n be a positive integer. The following are equivalent.

- (i) $l.\text{qpwpd}(R) \leq n$.
- (ii) $\text{qpwpd}(R/I) \leq n$, for every (left) ideal I of R .
- (iii) $\text{id}_R(\overline{M}) \leq n$, for all flat modules \overline{M} .
- (iv) $\text{id}_R(p) \leq n$, for all Projective modules P .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) are obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let \overline{M} be a flat module. Since $\text{qpwpd}_R(R/I) \leq n$ for every ideal I of R , we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \overline{M}) = 0$ for all $i > n$. Thus using the Baer Criterion [9, lemma 9.11], $\text{id}_R(\overline{M}) \leq n$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Let M be an arbitrary module. Since $\text{id}_R(p) \leq n$ for each Projective module P , we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, P) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and Projective

module P .

By dimension shifting we get that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, P) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all module P with finite projective dimension. By [7, theorem 7.2.5] $l.\text{Cot.D}(R) \leq \text{Sup}\{\text{id}_R(M/p \text{ projective})\} \leq n$. Thus given a flat module \overline{M} , we have $\text{qpdp}_R(\overline{M}) < \infty$. Hence, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \overline{M}) = 0$ for all $i > n$. Consequently, $\text{qpwpd}_R(M) \leq n$.

References

1. D. Arnold, B. O'Brien and J. Reid, Quasi-pure injective and projective torsion free Abelian groups of finite rank, *London Math. Soc. Proc.*, 38, 532-544 (1979).
2. R. B. Warfield, Purity and algebraic compactness for modules, *Pacific J. Math.* 28, 699-719 (1969).
3. H. Bass, Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi primary rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95, 466-488 (1960).
4. N. Bourbaki, Algebra homologique, Enseign. Math. Chapter 10. Masson, Paris (1980).
5. R. Gobel and J. Trlifaj, Approximations and endomorphism algebras of modules, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 41, de Gruyter, Berlin (2006).
6. N. Mahdon and M. Tamekkante, IF-dimension of modules, comm. *In mathematics and Applications* 1(2), 99-104 (2010).

7. L. Mao and N. ding, the cotorsion dimension of modules and rings, *Lect. Notes Pure appl. Math.* 249, 217-233 (2005).
8. W.K. Nicholson and M.F. Youssif, Quasi-frobenious rings, Cambridge University press, Vol. 158, (2003).
9. J. Rotman, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Academic Press, Pure and Appl. Math, A series of Monographs and Text book 25 (1979).
10. B. Stenstrom, Coherent rings and FP-injective module, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 2, 323-329 (1970).
11. D. Arnold, C. I. Vinsonhaler and W. J. Wickless, Quasi-pure projective and injective torsion free abelian groups of rank 2, *Rocky Moutain J. Math.* 6, 61-70 (1976).